

REMARKS

By the foregoing amendments, independent claims 29, 34, 39, 44, and 49 have been amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, as well as to overcome the objection to the drawings.

Claims

The claims were rejected on written description grounds because there is no supporting disclosure for a first and second zipper. Additionally, claims 39 and 44 were rejected as being indefinite and as lacking written description, insofar as they recited that the securing means is part of the structure to which the cover is to be attached.

Each independent claim has been amended to remove any reference to a first and second zipper. Claim 39 has been amended to recite the combination of a structure and a cover, and further to recite that at least one of the cover and the structure include the securing means. There is clearly support for such claim in the specification and drawings. Finally, claim 44 no longer recites that the securing means is part of the structure to which the cover is to be attached.


For the foregoing reasons, the applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the Section 112 rejections.

Drawings

The drawings were objected to on the grounds that they do not show a first and second zipper, and do not show a securing means which is part of the structure to which the cover is to be attached. In view of the fact that such features are no longer claimed, the applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objection to the drawings.

In light of the foregoing amendments, the applicant respectfully requests favorable consideration and allowance of the application.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert B. Smith
PTO Registration No. 28,538
Attorney for Patent Owner
(212) 885-9237

Abelman, Frayne & Schwab
666 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10017